

# HIGHER LAME EQUATIONS AND CRITICAL POINTS OF MASTER FUNCTIONS

E. MUKHIN <sup>\*</sup>, V. TARASOV <sup>\*,\*,1</sup>, AND A. VARCHENKO <sup>\*\*,2</sup>

<sup>\*</sup>*Department of Mathematical Sciences, Indiana University – Purdue University,  
Indianapolis, 402 North Blackford St, Indianapolis, IN 46202-3216, USA*

<sup>\*</sup>*St. Petersburg Branch of Steklov Mathematical Institute  
Fontanka 27, St. Petersburg, 191023, Russia*

<sup>\*\*</sup>*Department of Mathematics, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill,  
Chapel Hill, NC 27599-3250, USA*

**ABSTRACT.** Under certain conditions, we give an estimate from above on the number of differential equations of order  $r+1$  with prescribed regular singular points, prescribed exponents at singular points, and having a quasi-polynomial flag of solutions. The estimate is given in terms of a suitable weight subspace of the tensor power  $U(\mathfrak{n}_-)^{\otimes(n-1)}$ , where  $n$  is the number of singular points in  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $U(\mathfrak{n}_-)$  is the enveloping algebra of the nilpotent subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{gl}_{r+1}$ .

*Dedicated to Askold Khovanskii on the occasion of his 60<sup>th</sup> birthday.*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Consider the differential equation

$$(1) \quad F(x) u''(x) + G(x) u'(x) + H(x) u(x) = 0,$$

where  $F(x)$  is a polynomial of degree  $n$ , and  $G(x)$ ,  $H(x)$  are polynomials of degree not greater than  $n-1$ ,  $n-2$ , respectively. If  $F(x)$  has no multiple roots, then all singular points of the equation are regular singular. Write

$$(2) \quad F(x) = \prod_{s=1}^n (x - z_s), \quad \frac{G(x)}{F(x)} = - \sum_{s=1}^n \frac{m_s}{x - z_s}$$

for suitable complex numbers  $m_s, z_s$ . Then  $0$  and  $m_s+1$  are exponents at  $z_s$  of equation (1). If  $-l$  is one of the exponents at  $\infty$ , then the other is  $l-1-\sum_{s=1}^n m_s$ .

**Problem** ([Sz], Ch. 6.8) *Given polynomials  $F(x)$ ,  $G(x)$  as above and a non-negative integer  $l$ ,*

---

<sup>1</sup> Supported in part by RFFI grant 05-01-00922.

<sup>2</sup> Supported in part by NSF grant DMS-0244579.

- (a) *find a polynomial  $H(x)$  of degree at most  $n - 2$  such that equation (1) has a polynomial solution of degree  $l$ ;*
- (b) *find the number of solutions to Problem (a).*

If  $H(x), u(x)$  is a solution to Problem (a), then the corresponding equation (1) is called a *Lame equation* and the polynomial  $u(x)$  is called a *Lame function*.

**Example.** Let  $F(x) = 1 - x^2$ ,  $G(x) = \alpha - \beta + (\alpha + \beta + 2)x$ . Then  $H = l(l + \alpha + \beta + 1)$  and the corresponding polynomial solution of degree  $l$ , normalized by the condition  $u(1) = \binom{l+\alpha}{l}$ , is called *the Jacobi polynomial* and denoted by  $P_l^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)$ .

The following result is classical.

**Theorem 1.1** (Cf. [Sz], Ch. 6.8, [St]).

- *Let  $u(x)$  be a polynomial solution of (1) of degree  $l$  with roots  $t_1^0, \dots, t_l^0$  of multiplicity one. Then  $\mathbf{t}^0 = (t_1^0, \dots, t_l^0)$  is a critical point of the function*

$$\Phi_{l,n}(\mathbf{t}; \mathbf{z}; \mathbf{m}) = \prod_{i=1}^l \prod_{s=1}^n (t_i - z_s)^{-m_s} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq l} (t_i - t_j)^2,$$

where  $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$  and  $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_n)$ .

- *Let  $\mathbf{t}^0$  be a critical point of the function  $\Phi_{l,n}(\cdot; \mathbf{z}; \mathbf{m})$ , then the polynomial  $u(x)$  of degree  $l$  with roots  $t_1^0, \dots, t_l^0$  is a solution of (1) with  $H(x) = (-F(x)u''(x) - G(x)u'(x))/u(x)$  being a polynomial of degree at most  $n - 2$ .*

The function  $\Phi_{l,n}(\cdot; \mathbf{z}; \mathbf{m})$  is called *the master function*. The master function is a symmetric function of the variables  $t_1, \dots, t_l$ . Therefore, the symmetric group  $S_l$  naturally acts on the set of critical points of the master function by permuting the coordinates.

By Theorem 1.1, the  $S_l$ -orbits of critical points are in one-to-one correspondence with solution  $H(x), u(x)$  of Problem (a) such that  $u(x)$  has no multiple roots.

The following result is also classical.

**Theorem 1.2** (Cf. [Sz], Ch. 6.8, [H], [St]). *If  $z_1, \dots, z_n$  are distinct real numbers and  $m_1, \dots, m_n$  are negative numbers, then the number of solutions to Problem (a) is equal to  $\binom{l+n-2}{l}$ .*

Under these conditions on  $\mathbf{z}$  and  $\mathbf{m}$ , the master function has exactly  $\binom{l+n-2}{l}$   $S_l$ -orbits of critical points, see [Sz] and [V3].

The number  $\binom{l+n-2}{l}$  has the following representation theoretical interpretation. The universal enveloping algebra  $U(\mathfrak{n}_-)$  of the nilpotent subalgebra  $\mathfrak{n}_- \subset \mathfrak{gl}_2$  is generated by one element  $e_{21}$  and is weighted by powers of the generator. The number  $\binom{l+n-2}{l}$  is the dimension of the weight  $l$  part of the tensor power  $U(\mathfrak{n}_-)^{\otimes(n-1)}$ .

The case of nonnegative integers  $m_1, \dots, m_n$  is interesting for applications to the Bethe ansatz method in the Gaudin model. For a nonnegative integer  $m$ , let  $L_m$  be the  $m + 1$ -dimensional irreducible  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -module. If  $m_1, \dots, m_n$  are nonnegative integers and  $m_\infty = \sum_{s=1}^n m_s - 2l$  is a nonnegative integer, then for any distinct  $z_1, \dots, z_n$  the number of  $S_l$ -orbits of critical points of the master function function  $\Phi_{l,n}(\cdot; \mathbf{z}; \mathbf{m})$  is not greater than the multiplicity of the  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -module  $L_{m_\infty}$  in the tensor product  $\otimes_{s=1}^n L_{m_s}$ . Moreover, for generic  $z_1, \dots, z_n$ , the number of  $S_l$ -orbits is equal to that multiplicity, see [ScV].

The goal of this paper is to generalize the formulated results. We consider linear differential equations of order  $r + 1$  with regular singular points only, located at  $z_1, \dots, z_n, \infty$ , and having prescribed exponents at each of the singular points. We introduce the notion of a quasi-polynomial flag of solutions for such a differential equation. (For a second order differential equation, a quasi-polynomial flag is just a solution of the form  $y(x) \prod_{s=1}^n (x - z_s)^{\lambda_s}$  where  $y(x)$  is a polynomial and  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  are some complex numbers.) We prove two facts:

- Differential equations with a quasi-polynomial flag, prescribed singular points and exponents are in one-to-one correspondence with suitable orbits of critical points of some  $\mathfrak{gl}_{r+1}$ -master function.
- Under explicit generic conditions on exponents (they must be *separated*), we show that the number of orbits of critical points of the corresponding master function is not greater than the dimension of a suitable weight subspace of  $U(\mathfrak{n}_-)^{\otimes(n-1)}$ , where  $\mathfrak{n}_-$  is the nilpotent subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{gl}_{r+1}$ .

The proofs are based on results from [MV2, BMV].

This paper was motivated by discussions with B. Shapiro of his preprint [BBS] in which another generalization of Problems (a) and (b) is introduced for differential equations of order  $r + 1$ . The authors thank B. Shapiro for useful discussions of his preprint.

## 2. CRITICAL POINTS OF $\mathfrak{gl}_{r+1}$ -MASTER FUNCTIONS

**2.1. Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{gl}_{r+1}$ .** Consider the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{gl}_{r+1}$  with standard generators  $e_{a,b}$ ,  $a, b = 1, \dots, r + 1$ , and Cartan decomposition  $\mathfrak{gl}_{r+1} = \mathfrak{n}_- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+$ ,

$$\mathfrak{n}_- = \bigoplus_{a>b} \mathbb{C} \cdot e_{a,b} , \quad \mathfrak{h} = \bigoplus_{a=1}^{r+1} \mathbb{C} \cdot e_{a,a} , \quad \mathfrak{n}_+ = \bigoplus_{a<b} \mathbb{C} \cdot e_{a,b} .$$

Set  $h_a = e_{a,a} - e_{a+1,a+1}$  for  $a = 1, \dots, r$ . Let  $e_{1,1}^*, \dots, e_{r+1,r+1}^* \in \mathfrak{h}^*$  be the basis dual to the basis  $e_{1,1}, \dots, e_{r+1,r+1} \in \mathfrak{h}$ . Set  $\alpha_a = e_{a,a}^* - e_{a+1,a+1}^*$  for  $a = 1, \dots, r$ . Fix the scalar product on  $\mathfrak{h}^*$  such that  $(e_{a,a}^*, e_{b,b}^*) = \delta_{a,b}$ .

Let  $U(\mathfrak{n}_-)$  be the universal enveloping algebra of  $\mathfrak{n}_-$ ,

$$U(\mathfrak{n}_-) = \bigoplus_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^r} U(\mathfrak{n}_-)[l] ,$$

where for  $\mathbf{l} = (l_1, \dots, l_r)$ , the space  $U(\mathfrak{n}_-)[\mathbf{l}]$  consists of elements  $f$  such that

$$[f, h] = \langle h, \sum_{i=1}^r l_i \alpha_i \rangle f.$$

The element  $\prod_i e_{a_i, b_i}$  with  $a_i > b_i$  belongs to the graded subspace  $U(\mathfrak{n}_-)[\mathbf{l}]$ , where  $\mathbf{l} = \sum_i \mathbf{l}_i$  with

$$\mathbf{l}_i = (0, 0, \dots, 0, 1_b, 1_{b+1}, \dots, 1_{a-1}, 0, 0, \dots, 0).$$

Choose an order on the set of elements  $e_{a,b}$  with  $r+1 \geq a > b \geq 1$ . Then the ordered products  $\prod_{a>b} e_{a,b}^{n_{a,b}}$  form a graded basis of  $U(\mathfrak{n}_-)$ .

The grading of  $U(\mathfrak{n}_-)$  induces the grading of  $U(\mathfrak{n}_-)^{\otimes k}$  for any positive integer  $k$ ,

$$U(\mathfrak{n}_-)^{\otimes k} = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{l}} U(\mathfrak{n}_-)^{\otimes k}[\mathbf{l}].$$

Denote

$$d(k, \mathbf{l}) = \dim U(\mathfrak{n}_-)^{\otimes k}[\mathbf{l}].$$

For a weight  $\Lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ , denote by  $L_\Lambda$  the irreducible  $\mathfrak{gl}_{r+1}$ -module with highest weight  $\Lambda$ . Let

$$\Lambda = (\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n), \quad \Lambda_s \in \mathfrak{h}^*,$$

be a collection of weights and  $\mathbf{l} = (l_1, \dots, l_r)$  a collection of nonnegative integers. Let

$$L_\Lambda = L_{\Lambda_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes L_{\Lambda_n}$$

be the tensor product of irreducible  $\mathfrak{gl}_{r+1}$ -modules. Let  $L_\Lambda = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{l} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^r} L_\Lambda[\mathbf{l}]$  be its weight decomposition, where  $L_\Lambda[(l_1, \dots, l_r)]$  is the subspace of vectors of weight  $\sum_{s=1}^n \Lambda_s - \sum_{i=1}^r l_i \alpha_i$ . Let  $\text{Sing } L_\Lambda[\mathbf{l}] \subset L_\Lambda[\mathbf{l}]$  be the subspace of singular vectors, i.e. the subspace of vectors annihilated by  $\mathfrak{n}_+$ . Denote

$$\delta(\Lambda, \mathbf{l}) = \dim \text{Sing } L_\Lambda[\mathbf{l}].$$

It is well-known that for given  $\mathbf{l}$  and a generic set of weights  $\Lambda$ , we have

$$d(n-1, \mathbf{l}) = \delta(\Lambda, \mathbf{l}).$$

For given  $\Lambda$  and  $\mathbf{l}$ , introduce the weight

$$\Lambda_\infty = \sum_{s=1}^n \Lambda_s - \sum_{i=1}^r l_i \alpha_i \in \mathfrak{h}^*$$

and the sequences of numbers  $\mathbf{m}_1, \dots, \mathbf{m}_{r+1}, \mathbf{m}_\infty$ , where

$$\mathbf{m}_s = \{m_{s,1}, \dots, m_{s,r+1}\} \quad \text{and} \quad m_{s,i} = \langle \Lambda_s, e_{i,i} \rangle.$$

Having sequences  $\mathbf{m}_1, \dots, \mathbf{m}_{r+1}, \mathbf{m}_\infty$ , we can recover  $\Lambda$  and  $\mathbf{l}$  as follows:

$$(3) \quad \Lambda_s = \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} m_{s,i} e_{i,i}^* \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^r l_i \alpha_i = \sum_{s=1}^n \Lambda_s - \Lambda_\infty.$$

We say that the set of sequences  $\mathbf{m}_1, \dots, \mathbf{m}_{r+1}, \mathbf{m}_\infty$  of complex numbers is *admissible*, if all of the numbers  $\mathbf{l} = (l_1, \dots, l_r)$ , defined by (3), are nonnegative integers.

**2.2. Master functions.** Let  $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$  be a point with distinct coordinates. Let

$$\boldsymbol{\Lambda} = (\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n), \quad \Lambda_s \in \mathfrak{h}^*,$$

be a collection of  $\mathfrak{gl}_{r+1}$ -weights and  $\mathbf{l} = (l_1, \dots, l_r)$  a collection of nonnegative integers. Set  $l = l_1 + \dots + l_r$ . Introduce a function of  $l$  variables

$$\mathbf{t} = (t_1^{(1)}, \dots, t_{l_1}^{(1)}, \dots, t_1^{(r)}, \dots, t_{l_r}^{(r)})$$

by the formula

$$(4) \quad \Phi(\mathbf{t}; \mathbf{z}; \boldsymbol{\Lambda}; \mathbf{l}) = \prod_{i=1}^r \prod_{j=1}^{l_i} \prod_{s=1}^n (t_j^{(i)} - z_s)^{-(\Lambda_s, \alpha_i)} \prod_{i=1}^r \prod_{1 \leq j < s \leq l_i} (t_j^{(i)} - t_s^{(i)})^2 \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} \prod_{j=1}^{l_i} \prod_{k=1}^{l_{i+1}} (t_j^{(i)} - t_k^{(i+1)})^{-1}.$$

The function  $\Phi$  is a (multi-valued) function of  $\mathbf{t}$ , depending on parameters  $\mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}$ . The function is called *the master function*.

The master functions arise in the hypergeometric solutions of the KZ equations [?, V1] and in the Bethe ansatz method for the Gaudin model [RV, ScV, MV1, MV2, MV3, V2, MTV].

The product of symmetric groups

$$S_{\mathbf{l}} = S_{l_1} \times \dots \times S_{l_r}$$

acts on variables  $\mathbf{t}$  by permuting the coordinates with the same upper index. The master function is  $S_{\mathbf{l}}$ -invariant.

A point  $\mathbf{t}$  with complex coordinates is called *a critical point* of  $\Phi(\cdot; \mathbf{z}; \boldsymbol{\Lambda}; \mathbf{l})$  if the following system of  $l$  equations is satisfied

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{s=1}^n \frac{(\Lambda_s, \alpha_1)}{t_j^{(1)} - z_s} - \sum_{s=1, s \neq j}^{l_1} \frac{2}{t_j^{(1)} - t_s^{(1)}} + \sum_{s=1}^{l_2} \frac{1}{t_j^{(1)} - t_s^{(2)}} = 0, \\ & \sum_{s=1}^n \frac{(\Lambda_s, \alpha_i)}{t_j^{(i)} - z_s} - \sum_{s=1, s \neq j}^{l_i} \frac{2}{t_j^{(i)} - t_s^{(i)}} + \sum_{s=1}^{l_{i-1}} \frac{1}{t_j^{(i)} - t_s^{(i-1)}} + \sum_{s=1}^{l_{i+1}} \frac{1}{t_j^{(i)} - t_s^{(i+1)}} = 0, \\ & \sum_{s=1}^n \frac{(\Lambda_s, \alpha_r)}{t_j^{(r)} - z_s} - \sum_{s=1, s \neq j}^{l_r} \frac{2}{t_j^{(r)} - t_s^{(r)}} + \sum_{s=1}^{l_{r-1}} \frac{1}{t_j^{(r)} - t_s^{(r-1)}} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $j = 1, \dots, l_1$  in the first group of equations,  $i = 2, \dots, r-1$  and  $j = 1, \dots, l_i$  in the second group of equations,  $j = 1, \dots, l_r$  in the last group of equations.

In other words, a point  $\mathbf{t}$  is a critical point if

$$\left( \Phi^{-1} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t_j^{(i)}} \right) (\mathbf{t}; \mathbf{z}; \mathbf{\Lambda}; \mathbf{l}) = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, r, \quad j = 1, \dots, l_i.$$

In the Gaudin model, equations (5) are called *the Bethe ansatz equations*.

The set of critical points is  $S_l$ -invariant.

**2.3. The case of isolated critical points.** We say that the pair  $\mathbf{\Lambda}, \mathbf{l}$  is *separating* if

$$(2\Lambda_\infty + \sum_{i=1}^r c_i \alpha_i, \sum_{i=1}^r c_i \alpha_i) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^r c_i \neq 0$$

for all sets of integers  $\{c_1, \dots, c_r\}$  such that  $0 \leq c_i \leq l_i$ ,  $\sum_i c_i \neq 0$ .

For example, if  $\Lambda_\infty$  is dominant integral, then  $\mathbf{\Lambda}, \mathbf{l}$  is separating.

**Lemma 2.1** (Theorem 16 [ScV], Lemma 2.1 [MV2]). *If the pair  $\mathbf{\Lambda}, \mathbf{l}$  is separating, then the set of critical points of the master function  $\Phi(\cdot; \mathbf{z}; \mathbf{\Lambda}; \mathbf{l})$  is finite.*

By Lemma 2.1, for given  $\mathbf{l}$  and generic  $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ , the master function  $\Phi(\cdot; \mathbf{z}; \mathbf{\Lambda}; \mathbf{l})$  has finitely many critical points.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Assume that the pair  $\mathbf{\Lambda}, \mathbf{l}$  is separating, then the number of  $S_l$ -orbits of critical points counted with multiplicities is not greater than  $d(n-1, \mathbf{l})$ .*

The multiplicity of a critical point  $\mathbf{t}$  is the multiplicity of  $\mathbf{t}$  as a solution of system (5).

*Proof.* It is shown in [BMV] that, if  $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n, \Lambda_\infty$  is a collection of dominant integral weights, then the number of  $S_l$ -orbits of critical points counted with multiplicities is not greater than  $\delta(\mathbf{\Lambda}, \mathbf{l})$ . Together with equality  $d(n-1, \mathbf{l}) = d(\mathbf{\Lambda}, \mathbf{l})$  for generic  $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ , this proves the theorem.  $\square$

### 3. DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS WITH QUASI-POLYNOMIAL FLAGS OF SOLUTIONS

**3.1. Fundamental differential operator.** For the  $S_l$ -orbit of a critical point  $\mathbf{t}$  of the master function  $\Phi(\cdot; \mathbf{z}; \mathbf{\Lambda}; \mathbf{l})$ , define the tuple  $\mathbf{y}^t = (y_1, \dots, y_r)$  of polynomials in variable  $x$ ,

$$y_i(x) = \prod_{j=1}^{l_i} (x - t_j^{(i)}), \quad i = 1, \dots, r.$$

Since  $\mathbf{t}$  is a critical point, all fractions in (5) are well-defined. Therefore, the tuple  $\mathbf{y}^t$  has the following properties:

- (6) Every polynomial  $y_i$  has no multiple roots.
- (7) Every pair of polynomials  $y_i$  and  $y_{i+1}$  has no common roots.
- (8) For every  $i = 1, \dots, r$  and  $s = 1, \dots, n$ , if  $m_{s,i} - m_{s,i+1} \neq 0$ , then  $y_i(z_s) \neq 0$ .

A tuple of monic polynomials  $(y_1, \dots, y_r)$  with properties (6)-(8) will be called *off-diagonal*.

Define quasi-polynomials  $T_1, \dots, T_{r+1}$  in  $x$  by the formula

$$(9) \quad T_i(x) = \prod_{s=1}^n (x - z_s)^{-m_{s,i}}.$$

Consider the linear differential operator of order  $r+1$ ,

$$D_{\mathbf{t}} = \left( \frac{d}{dx} - \ln' \left( \frac{T_{r+1}}{y_r} \right) \right) \left( \frac{d}{dx} - \ln' \left( \frac{y_r T_r}{y_{r-1}} \right) \right) \dots \left( \frac{d}{dx} - \ln' \left( \frac{y_2 T_2}{y_1} \right) \right) \left( \frac{d}{dx} - \ln' (y_1 T_1) \right)$$

where  $\ln'(f)$  denotes  $(df/dx)/f$  for any  $f$ . We say that  $D_{\mathbf{t}}$  is *the fundamental operator* of the critical point  $\mathbf{t}$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *Assume that the pair  $\Lambda, \mathbf{l}$  is separating. Then*

(i) *All singular points of  $D_{\mathbf{t}}$  are regular and lie in  $z_1, \dots, z_n, \infty$ . The exponents of  $D_{\mathbf{t}}$  at  $z_s$  are*

$$-m_{s,1}, -m_{s,2} + 1, \dots, -m_{s,r+1} + r$$

*for  $s = 1, \dots, n$ , and the exponents of  $D_{\mathbf{t}}$  at  $\infty$  are*

$$m_{\infty,1}, m_{\infty,2} - 1, \dots, m_{\infty,r+1} - r.$$

(ii) *The differential equation  $D_{\mathbf{t}} u = 0$  has solutions  $u_1, \dots, u_{r+1}$  such that*

$$u_1 = y_1 T_1$$

*and for  $i = 2, \dots, r+1$  we have*

$$\text{Wr}(u_1, \dots, u_i) = y_i T_i T_{i-1} \dots T_1$$

*where  $\text{Wr}(u_1, \dots, u_i)$  denotes the Wronskian of  $u_1, \dots, u_i$  and  $y_{r+1} = 1$ .*

*Proof.* Part (ii) follows from the presentation of  $D_{\mathbf{t}}$  as a product. To prove part (i) consider the operator  $\tilde{D}_{\mathbf{t}} = T_1^{-1} \cdot D_{\mathbf{t}} \cdot T_1$ , the conjugate of  $D_{\mathbf{t}}$  by the operator of multiplication by  $T_1$ . Then

$$\tilde{D}_{\mathbf{t}} = \left( \frac{d}{dx} - \ln' \left( \frac{T_{r+1}}{y_r T_1} \right) \right) \left( \frac{d}{dx} - \ln' \left( \frac{y_r T_r}{y_{r-1} T_1} \right) \right) \dots \left( \frac{d}{dx} - \ln' \left( \frac{y_2 T_2}{y_1 T_1} \right) \right) \left( \frac{d}{dx} - \ln' (y_1 T_1) \right).$$

It is enough to show that

(iii) All singular points of  $\tilde{D}_{\mathbf{t}}$  are regular and lie in  $\{z_1, \dots, z_n, \infty\}$ .  
(iv) The exponents of  $\tilde{D}_{\mathbf{t}}$  at  $z_s$  are

$$0, m_{s,1} - m_{s,2} + 1, \dots, m_{s,1} - m_{s,r+1} + r,$$

for  $s = 1, \dots, n$ , and the exponents of  $\tilde{D}_{\mathbf{t}}$  at  $\infty$  are

$$-l_1, -m_{\infty,1} + m_{\infty,2} - 1 - l_1, \dots, -m_{\infty,1} + m_{\infty,r+1} - r - l_1.$$

If all highest weights in the collection  $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n, \Lambda_\infty$  are integral dominant, then statements (iii-iv) are proved in [MV2], Section 5. Hence statements (iii-iv) hold for arbitrary separating  $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n, \mathbf{l}$ .  $\square$

**3.2. Quasi-polynomial flags.** Let  $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$  be a point with distinct coordinates. Let  $\mathbf{m}_1, \dots, \mathbf{m}_{r+1}, \mathbf{m}_\infty$  be an admissible set of sequences of complex numbers as in Section 2.1. Let

$$D = \frac{d^{r+1}}{dx^{r+1}} + A_1(x) \frac{d^r}{dx^r} + \dots + A_r(x) \frac{d}{dx} + A_{r+1}(x)$$

be a differential operator with rational coefficients.

We say that  $D$  is associated with  $\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{m}_1, \dots, \mathbf{m}_{r+1}, \mathbf{m}_\infty$ , if

- All singular points of  $D$  are regular and lie in  $z_1, \dots, z_n, \infty$ .
- The exponents of  $D$  at  $z_s$  are  $-m_{s,1}, -m_{s,2}+1, \dots, -m_{s,r+1}+r$  for  $s = 1, \dots, n$ .
- The exponents of  $D$  at  $\infty$  are  $m_{\infty,1}, m_{\infty,2}-1, \dots, m_{\infty,r+1}-r$ .

Define quasi-polynomials  $T_1, \dots, T_{r+1}$  in  $x$  by formula (9).

We say that an operator  $D$ , associated with  $\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{m}_1, \dots, \mathbf{m}_{r+1}, \mathbf{m}_\infty$ , has a quasi-polynomial flag, if there exists a tuple  $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_r)$  of monic polynomials in  $x$ , such that

- (i) For  $i = 1, \dots, r$ ,  $\deg y_i = l_i$ .
- (ii) The tuple  $\mathbf{y}$  is off-diagonal in the sense of (6)-(8).
- (iii) The differential equation  $D u = 0$  has solutions  $u_1, \dots, u_{r+1}$  such that

$$u_1 = y_1 T_1$$

and for  $i = 2, \dots, r+1$  we have

$$\text{Wr}(u_1, \dots, u_i) = y_i T_i T_{i-1} \dots T_1,$$

where  $y_{r+1} = 1$ .

**Proposition 3.2.** An operator  $D$ , associated with  $\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{m}_1, \dots, \mathbf{m}_{r+1}, \mathbf{m}_\infty$ , has a quasi-polynomial flag if and only there exists a tuple  $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_r)$  of monic polynomials in  $x$  with properties (i-ii) and

- (iv) The differential operator  $D$  can be presented in the form

$$D = \left( \frac{d}{dx} - \ln' \left( \frac{T_{r+1}}{y_r} \right) \right) \left( \frac{d}{dx} - \ln' \left( \frac{y_r T_r}{y_{r-1}} \right) \right) \dots \left( \frac{d}{dx} - \ln' \left( \frac{y_2 T_2}{y_1} \right) \right) \left( \frac{d}{dx} - \ln' (y_1 T_1) \right).$$

*Proof.* The equivalence of (iii) and (iv) follows from the next lemma.

**Lemma 3.3.** Let  $u_1, \dots, u_{r+1}$  be any functions such that

$$\text{Wr}(u_1, \dots, u_i) = y_i T_i T_{i-1} \dots T_1,$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, r+1$ . Then  $u_1, \dots, u_{r+1}$  form a basis of the space of solutions of the equation  $Du = 0$  with

$$D = \left( \frac{d}{dx} - \ln' \left( \frac{T_{r+1}}{y_r} \right) \right) \left( \frac{d}{dx} - \ln' \left( \frac{y_r T_r}{y_{r-1}} \right) \right) \dots \left( \frac{d}{dx} - \ln' \left( \frac{y_2 T_2}{y_1} \right) \right) \left( \frac{d}{dx} - \ln' (y_1 T_1) \right).$$

*Proof.* It is enough to prove that  $D u_i = 0$  for  $i = 1, \dots, r+1$ . By induction on  $i$ , we obtain that

$$\left( \frac{d}{dx} - \ln' \left( \frac{y_i T_i}{y_{i-1}} \right) \right) \dots \left( \frac{d}{dx} - \ln' \left( \frac{y_2 T_2}{y_1} \right) \right) \left( \frac{d}{dx} - \ln' (y_1 T_1) \right) u = \frac{W(u_1, \dots, u_i, u)}{y_i T_i T_{i-1} \dots T_1}$$

from which the statement follows.  $\square$

$\square$

Examples of differential operators with quasi-polynomial flags are given by Theorem 3.1. If  $\mathbf{t}$  is a critical point of the master function  $\Phi(\cdot; \mathbf{z}; \mathbf{\Lambda}; \mathbf{l})$ , then by Theorem 3.1, the fundamental differential operator  $D_{\mathbf{t}}$  is associated with  $\mathbf{z}$ ,  $\mathbf{m}_1, \dots, \mathbf{m}_{r+1}, \mathbf{m}_{\infty}$  and has a quasi-polynomial flag.

Having  $\mathbf{z}$ ,  $\mathbf{m}_1, \dots, \mathbf{m}_{r+1}, \mathbf{m}_{\infty}$ , define  $\mathbf{\Lambda}, \mathbf{l}$  by (3) and the master function  $\Phi(\cdot; \mathbf{z}; \mathbf{\Lambda}; \mathbf{l})$  by (4).

Having a tuple  $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_r)$ ,  $y_i(x) = \prod_{j=1}^{l_i} (x - t_j^{(i)})$ , denote by

$$(10) \quad \mathbf{t} = (t_1^{(1)}, \dots, t_{l_1}^{(1)}, \dots, t_1^{(r)}, \dots, t_{l_r}^{(r)})$$

a point in  $\mathbb{C}^l$ , whose coordinates are roots of the polynomials of  $\mathbf{y}$ . The tuple  $\mathbf{y}$  uniquely determines the  $S_l$ -orbit of  $\mathbf{t}$ .

**Theorem 3.4.** *Assume that an operator  $D$  is associated with  $\mathbf{z}$ ,  $\mathbf{m}_1, \dots, \mathbf{m}_{r+1}, \mathbf{m}_{\infty}$  and has a quasi-polynomial flag. Let  $\mathbf{y}$  be the corresponding tuple of polynomials. Then the point  $\mathbf{t}$ , introduced in (10), is a critical point of the master function  $\Phi(\cdot; \mathbf{z}; \mathbf{\Lambda}; \mathbf{l})$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $u_1, \dots, u_{r+1}$  be the set of solutions of the differential equation  $Du = 0$  giving the quasi-polynomial flag. Introduce the functions  $\tilde{y}_1, \dots, \tilde{y}_r$  by the formulas  $u_2 = \tilde{y}_1 T_1$  and for  $i = 2, \dots, r$ ,

$$\tilde{y}_i T_i T_{i-1} \dots T_1 = \text{Wr}(u_1, \dots, u_{i-1}, u_{i+1}).$$

The functions  $\tilde{y}_1, \dots, \tilde{y}_r$  are multi-valued functions.

- Singularities of all branches of all of these functions lie in  $z_1, \dots, z_n$ .

It follows from the Wronskian identities of [MV2], that

- $\text{Wr}(\tilde{y}_1, y_1) = \frac{T_2}{T_1} y_2$ ,  $\text{Wr}(\tilde{y}_r, y_r) = \frac{T_{r+1}}{T_r} y_{r-1}$ ,  $\text{Wr}(\tilde{y}_i, y_i) = \frac{T_{i+1}}{T_i} y_{i-1} y_{i+1}$

for  $i = 2, \dots, r-1$ . These two properties of functions  $\tilde{y}_1, \dots, \tilde{y}_r$  imply equations (5) for roots of polynomials  $y_1, \dots, y_r$ , see [MV2]. This shows that the point  $\mathbf{t}$  is a critical point.  $\square$

The correspondence between critical points and differential operators with quasi-polynomial flags is reflexive. If  $\mathbf{t}$  is a critical point of the master function  $\Phi(\cdot; \mathbf{z}; \mathbf{\Lambda}; \mathbf{l})$ , which corresponds by Theorem 3.4 to the differential operator  $D$ , then  $D$  is the fundamental differential operator of the critical point  $\mathbf{t}$ .

**3.3. Conclusion.** Let  $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$  be a point with distinct coordinates. Let  $\mathbf{m}_1, \dots, \mathbf{m}_{r+1}, \mathbf{m}_\infty$  be an admissible set of sequences of complex numbers as in Section 2.1. Define  $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n, \Lambda_\infty, \mathbf{l}$  by (3). Assume that  $\mathbf{l}$  is fixed and  $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n$  are separating (that is generic). Then the number of differential operators  $D$ , associated with  $\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{m}_1, \dots, \mathbf{m}_{r+1}, \mathbf{m}_\infty$  and having a quasi-polynomial flag, is not greater than  $d(n-1, \mathbf{l})$ , see Theorems 2.2 and 3.4.

It is interesting to note that if  $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n, \Lambda_\infty$ , are dominant integral, then the number of differential operators  $D$ , associated with  $\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{m}_1, \dots, \mathbf{m}_{r+1}, \mathbf{m}_\infty$  and having a quasi-polynomial flag, is not greater than the multiplicity  $\delta(\mathbf{\Lambda}, \mathbf{l})$ , which could be a smaller number. See Theorem 3.4 and description in [MV2] of the critical points of the corresponding master functions.

## REFERENCES

- [BMV] P. Belkale, E. Mukhin, and A. Varchenko, *Multiplicity of critical points of master functions and Schubert calculus* Preprint (2005), 1–23; [math.QA/0503132](#)
- [BBS] J. Borcea, P. Branden, and B. Shapiro, *On higher Lame equations, Heine-Stieltjes and Van Vleck polynomials*, in preparation
- [H] E. Heine, *Handbuch der Kugelfunctionen*, G. Reimer Verlag, Berlin, vol. 1 (1878), 472–479
- [MTV] E. Mukhin, V. Tarasov, and A. Varchenko, *The B. and M. Shapiro conjecture in real algebraic geometry and the Bethe ansatz*, Preprint (2005), 1–17, [math.AG/0512299](#)
- [MV1] E. Mukhin and A. Varchenko, *Remarks on critical points of phase functions and norms of Bethe vectors*, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **27** (2000), 239–246
- [MV2] E. Mukhin and A. Varchenko, *Critical Points of Master Functions and Flag Varieties*, Communications in Contemporary Mathematics **6** (2004), no. 1, 111–163
- [MV3] E. Mukhin and A. Varchenko, *Norm of a Bethe Vector and the Hessian of the Master Function*, Compos. Math. **141** (2005), no. 4, 1012–1028
- [RV] N. Reshetikhin and A. Varchenko, *Quasiclassical asymptotics of solutions to the KZ equations*, Geometry, Topology and Physics for R. Bott, Intern. Press, 1995, 293–322

- [SV] V V. Schechtman and A. Varchenko, *Arrangements of hyperplanes and Lie algebra homology*, Invent. Math. **106** (1991), 139-194
- [ScV] I. Scherbak and A. Varchenko, *Critical points of functions,  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  representations, and Fuchsian differential equations with only univalued solutions*, Dedicated to Vladimir I. Arnold on the occasion of his 65th birthday, Mosc. Math. J. **3** (2003), no. 2, 621–645
- [St] T. Stieltjes, *Sur certains polynomes qui verifient une equation differentielle lineaire du second ordre et sur la theorie des fonctions de Lame*, Acta Math. **8** (1885), 321–326
- [Sz] G. Szego, *Orthogonal Polynomials*, AMS, 1939.
- [V1] A. Varchenko, *Hypergeometric Functions and Representation Theory of Lie Algebras and Quantum Groups*, Advanced Series in Mathematical Physics, Vol. 21, World Scientific, 1995
- [V2] A. Varchenko, *Bethe Ansatz for Arrangements of Hyperplanes and the Gaudin Model*, Preprint (2004), 1–17; [hep-th/0408001](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0408001)
- [V3] A. Varchenko, *Critical points of the product of powers of linear functions and families of bases of singular vectors*, Compositio Mathematica **97** (1995), 385–401